UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,351	06/07/2006	Eiji Muramatsu	8048-1153	9236
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 01/23/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St	reet	VERDERAME, ANNA L		
	Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/574,351	MURAMATSU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANNA L. VERDERAME	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The substitution of	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-18 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	rawn from consideration. l/or election requirement.		
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 April 2006</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light content. 	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/03/2006, 03/12/2007, 04/11/2007, 30/118/2008	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I and 6) Other:	ate	



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,351 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I claims 15-18 in the reply filed on 12/31/2008 is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

Publication US 2003/063535 cited on the Information Disclosure Statements filed on April 11, 2007 and on March 12, 2007 is incorrectly cited and should be US 2003/0063535.

The Information Disclosure Statement filed on 4/11/2007 was a correction for the one filed on 3/12/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. US 2004/0125738 in view of Narumi et al. US 2003/0185121.

In figure 5 Lee et al. discloses an optical recording medium that reads on claims 15 and 17-18. The disc control data zone corresponds to applicant's control information area. The defect management zone corresponds to applicant's space

recited in claim 18. Address information of defects is written in the defect management zone(0049-0050). See figure 2 for illustration of recording/reproducing path.

The disc shown in figure 6B meets the limitations of claims 15, 16, and 18. In figure 6B the disc-related information areas correspond to the areas which are recorded in advance recited in instant claim 16(0051-0052). Address information of defects is written in the defect management zone. Section 0042 discusses the disc-related information areas(reproduction only areas). Reproduction only areas may include prepit areas(0068 and claims 16 and 18).

The discs of figure 5 and figure 6B do not have test writing areas in the first and second layer which a completely or partially out of overlap.

Narumi et al. discloses offset test areas in dual layer optical recording media (0197 and figure 14).

It would have been obvious to offset the test areas in the first and second layers of the media taught by Lee et al. based on the example of Narumi et al. and with the reasonable expectation of forming a useful optical recording media.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 1795

-US2005-0013223-discloses a dual-layer optical recording medium wherein the optimal power control areas do not overlap(abstract). See for example figure 8.

- -US 2003/0227846-entire reference-specifically (0025-0027).
- -US 2002/0136122- teaches providing out-of-overlap test areas in the second and further recording layers of a multilayer recording medium(abstract) and benefits obtained by doing this(emphasis added).
- -6,385,744- discloses that address information is recorded in a defect management zone(4/11-16).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANNA L. VERDERAME whose telephone number is (571)272-6420. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8A-4:30P.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on (571)272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,351 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark F. Huff/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Anna L Verderame/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795